

United States Patent and Trademark Office



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/081,156	02/25/2002	Mark Stutler	3152A-Z	9175	
75	90 04/14/2003				
Law Office of Jim Zegeer			EXAMINER		
Suite 108 801 North Pitt S			3152A-Z 9175 EXAMINER CANFIELD, ROBERT	, ROBERT	
Alexandria, VA	. 22314		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			3635		
			DATE MAILED: 04/14/2003		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

•			
	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/081,156	STUTLER, MARK	
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Robert J Canfield	3635	
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet w	ith the correspondence address	
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply of INO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, of the complex period by the Office later than three months after the mailing of the complex period by the Office later than three months after the mailing of the complex period by the Office later than three months after the mailing of the complex period by the Office later than three months after the mailing of the complex period by the Office later than three months after the mailing of the complex period by the Office later than three months after the mailing of the complex period by the Office later than three months after the mailing of the complex period by the Office later than three months after the mailing of the complex period by the Office later than three months after the mailing of the complex period by the Office later than three months after the mailing of the complex period by the Office later than three months after the mailing of the complex period by the Office later than three months after the mailing of the complex period by the Office later than three months after the mailing of the complex period by the Office later than three months after the mailing of the complex period by the Office later than three months after the mailing of the complex period by the Office later than three months after the mailing of the complex period by the Office later than three months after the mailing of the complex period by the Office later than three months after the mailing of the complex period by the Office later than three months after the period by the Office later than three months after the period by the Office later than the period by the Off	6(a). In no event, however, may a within the statutory minimum of thin II apply and will expire SIX (6) MON cause the application to become Al	reply be timely filed ty (30) days will be considered timely. NTHS from the mailing date of this communication. BANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 14 A	<u>ugust 2002</u> .		
2a)☐ This action is FINAL . 2b)⊠ This	s action is non-final.		
Since this application is in condition for allowar closed in accordance with the practice under EDisposition of Claims			
4) Claim(s) 1-14 is/are pending in the application.			
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw	n from consideration.		
5)⊠ Claim(s) <u>7 and 10-12</u> is/are allowed.			
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1,5,6,8,9,13 and 14</u> is/are rejected.			
7) Claim(s) <u>2-4</u> is/are objected to.			
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	election requirement.		
Application Papers			
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.			
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accept			
Applicant may not request that any objection to the 11) The proposed drawing correction filed on		* *	
If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply		isapproved by the Examiner.	
12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Exa			
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120			
13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign	priority under 35 U.S.C.	§ 119(a)-(d) or (f).	
a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:	,	• (-) (-) • (-)	
1. Certified copies of the priority documents	have been received.		
2. Certified copies of the priority documents		application No	
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priorit application from the International Bure	ty documents have been eau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	received in this National Stage	
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of	·		
14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic			1).
 a) ☐ The translation of the foreign language provides 15)☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic 			
ttachment(s)			
 Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s) <u>4</u>. 		Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s) Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)	

Application/Control Number: 10/081,156

Art Unit: 3635

- 1. This is a first Office action on the merits for application serial number 10/081156 filed 02/25/02. Claims 1-14 are pending.
- 2. The examiner acknowledges receipt of the IDS filed 06/18/02. An initialed copy of the 1449 form is attached.
- 3. The drawings are objected to because figures 1A and 2A cannot be linked with the arrow, similarly figures 4 and 6 cannot be linked with the arrow, Figure 5 should be bracketed "}" and descriptive matter is not permitted. A proposed drawing correction or corrected drawings are required in reply to the Office action to avoid abandonment of the application. The objection to the drawings will not be held in abeyance.
- 4. The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:
 The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.
- 5. Claims 8 and 9 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

The preamble of claims 8 and 9 fails to agree with that of claim 1 from which they depend. It appears that applicant intended claims 8 and 9 to depend from claim 7 rather than claim 1.

/

Art Unit: 3635

6. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

Page 3

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 7. Claims 1, 5, 6, 9, 13 and 14 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by U.S. Patent 5,479,750 to Carlberg.

Figure 1 of Carlberg provides an extruded plastic channel 16 having springy, parallel sidewalls with outwardly flared lower ends. Recitations of the intended use of the claimed invention must result in a structural difference between the claimed invention and the prior art in order to patentably distinguish the claimed invention from the prior art.

8. Claims 1, 5, 6, 9, 13 and 14 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by U.S. Patent 5,772,185 to Pulsipher.

Figures 1 and 2 of Pulsipher provide an extruded plastic channel 10 having springy, parallel sidewalls 19, 20 with outwardly flared lower ends 18c, 20c. Recitations of the intended use of the claimed invention must result in a structural difference between the claimed invention and the prior art in order to patentably distinguish the claimed invention from the prior art.

Application/Control Number: 10/081,156

Art Unit: 3635

- 9. Claims 2-4, are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.
- 10. Claims 7 and 1-12 are allowed. 10 12
- 11. Claim 8 would be allowable if rewritten to overcome the rejection(s) under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, set forth in this Office action and to include all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.
- 12. The claims are found allowable because the prior art does not teach or fairly suggest a channel as claimed having spaced punchouts or a pair of insulated electrical wires in the top panel.
- 13. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Robert J Canfield whose telephone number is 703-308-2482. The examiner can normally be reached on M-Th.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Carl Friedman can be reached on 703-308-0839. The fax phone numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are 703-872-9326 for regular communications and 703-872-9327 for After Final communications.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is 703-308-2168.

Robert Canfield

Robert Canfield
Primary Examiner